

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
LUFKIN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA §
Vs. § CRIMINAL ACTION 9:05CR11
CHRISTIAN RAY WILSON §

FINDINGS OF FACT AND RECOMMENDATION ON FELONY GUILTY
PLEA BEFORE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), this matter has been referred by the District Judge for administration of the guilty plea and allocution under Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

On the 13th day of June, 2005, this cause came before the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge for Guilty Plea and Allocution of the Defendant, Christian Ray Wilson, on the 4-Count Superseding Indictment filed herein charging him with violations of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2113(a), 2113(d), 2, and 924(c). After conducting the proceeding in the form and manner prescribed by Fed.R.Crim.P. 11, the Court finds:

- a. That the Defendant, after consultation with counsel of record, has knowingly and voluntarily consented to the administration of the Guilty Plea and Allocution in this cause by a United States Magistrate Judge subject to a final approval and imposition of sentence by the District Judge.
- b. That the Defendant pled guilty to Counts 1 and 2 of the Superseding Indictment, pursuant to a plea agreement with the Government which has been filed and disclosed in open court pursuant to Fed.R.CrimP. 11(c)(2). Count 1 of the Superseding Indictment charges the Defendant with bank robbery and aiding and


abetting, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2113(a) and 2. Count 2 of the Superseding Indictment charges the Defendant with using and carrying a firearm during a crime of violence and aiding and abetting, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 924(c) and 2.

- c. That the Defendant is fully competent and capable of entering an informed plea, that the Defendant is aware of the nature of the charges and the consequences of the plea, and that the plea of guilty is a knowing and voluntary plea supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of the essential elements of the offenses.

IT IS THEREFORE the recommendation of the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge that the District Judge accept the Plea Agreement and the Guilty Plea of the Defendant, conditional upon a review of the presentence report, and that the Defendant, **CHRISTIAN RAY WILSON**, be finally adjudged guilty of those offenses.

The United States District Clerk shall serve a copy of this proposed Findings of Fact and Recommendation on all parties by sending a notice of electronic filing and retain the receipt of notice of electronic filing. Within ten (10) days after receipt of the magistrate judge's report, any party may serve and file written objections to the findings, conclusions and recommendations contained in this report. A party's failure to file written objections to the findings, conclusions and recommendations contained in this report within ten days after being served with a copy shall bar that party from *de novo* review by the district judge of those findings, conclusions and recommendations and, except on grounds of plain error, from appellate review of unobjected-to factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district judge. *Douglass v. United States Auto Ass'n.*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 13 day of June, 2005.



JUDITH K. GUTHRIE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
age 2 of 2